

# The Smith Cloud: HI associated with the Sgr dwarf?

J. Bland-Hawthorn<sup>1</sup>, S. Veilleux<sup>2</sup>, G.N. Cecil<sup>3</sup>, M.E. Putman<sup>4</sup>,  
B.K. Gibson<sup>4</sup> & P.R. Maloney<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Anglo-Australian Observatory, P.O. Box 296, Epping, NSW 2121, Australia*

<sup>2</sup>*Dept. of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, University of N. Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Mount Stromlo & Siding Spring Observatories, Weston Creek P.O., Weston, ACT 2611, Australia*

<sup>5</sup>*CASA, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0389, USA*

1 February 2008

## ABSTRACT

The Smith high velocity cloud ( $V_{\text{LSR}} = 98 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) has been observed at two locations in the emission lines  $[\text{OIII}]\lambda 5007$ ,  $[\text{NII}]\lambda 6548$  and  $\text{H}\alpha$ . Both the  $[\text{NII}]$  and  $\text{H}\alpha$  profiles show bright cores due to the Reynolds layer, and red wings with emission extending to  $V_{\text{LSR}} \approx 130 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This is the first simultaneous detection of two emission lines towards a high velocity cloud, allowing us to form the ratio of these line profiles as a function of LSR velocity. At both cloud positions, we see a clear distinction between emission at the cloud velocity, and the Reynolds layer emission ( $V_{\text{LSR}} \approx 0$ ). The  $[\text{NII}]/\text{H}\alpha$  ratio ( $\approx 0.25$ ) for the Reynolds layer is typical of the warm ionised medium. At the cloud velocity, this ratio is enhanced by a factor of 3–4 compared to emission at rest with respect to the LSR. A moderately deep upper limit at  $[\text{OIII}]$  (0.12R at  $3\sigma$ ) was derived from our data.

If the emission arises from dilute photoionisation from hot young stars, the highly enhanced  $[\text{NII}]/\text{H}\alpha$  ratio, the  $[\text{OIII}]$  non-detection and weak  $\text{H}\alpha$  emission (0.24–0.30R) suggest that the Smith Cloud is  $26 \pm 4$  kpc from the Sun, at a Galactocentric radius of  $20 \pm 4$  kpc. This value assumes that the emission arises from an optically thick slab, with a covering fraction of unity as seen by the ionising photons, whose orientation is either (a) parallel to the Galactic disk, or (b) such as to maximize the received flux from the disk. The estimated mass and size of the cloud are  $4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  and 6 kpc. We discuss a possible association with the much larger Sgr dwarf, at a galactocentric radius of  $16 \pm 2$  kpc, which lies within  $35^{\circ}$  ( $\sim 12$  kpc) of the Smith Cloud.

**Key words:** ISM: general — galaxies: intergalactic medium — individual object: Smith Cloud, Sgr dwarf — Galaxy: halo — techniques: interferometric

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Historical context

Since their discovery thirty years ago (Muller et al. 1963), the nature and origin of high velocity clouds (HVCs) has remained highly controversial. Their chequered history has been discussed by Verschuur (1988) and Wakker & van Woerden (1997). HVCs – which cover at least a third of the sky – are concentrations of neutral hydrogen with velocities which do not conform to a simple model of galactic rotation. Few, if any, clouds have reliable distance determinations, which has encouraged wide ranging speculation as to their origin. Explanations (assumed distances are given in parentheses) range from local supernova remnants ( $\sim 100$  pc), large-scale expanding motions in nearby spiral arms ( $< 1$  kpc), condensations in the local galactic halo ( $\sim 1$  kpc),

structures in the galactic warp (5–20 kpc), tidal disruptions of the Magellanic Clouds (20–50 kpc), intergalactic gas ( $> 50$  kpc) or protogalaxies ( $\sim 500$  kpc).

The distance uncertainty continues to be the major stumbling block in understanding HVCs (Schwarz, Wakker & van Woerden 1995) since the cloud density and mass scale inversely with distance, and as the square of the distance, respectively. Ferrara & Field (1994; see also Wolfire et al. 1995) have suggested one possible method for systematic distance determinations based on the core/envelope structure observed in some HVCs (Cram & Giovanelli 1976). Part of the problem is that, besides HI observations, it has proved difficult to detect HVCs in other spectral windows (Wakker & Boulanger 1986; Colgan, Salpeter & Terzian 1990).

## 1.2 Previous experiments

Initially, the prospect of optical emission line detections of HVCs looked bleak. Reynolds (1987) did not detect any of six HVCs in the range  $\mathcal{E}_m = 0.6 - 1 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$  with the Fabry-Perot ‘staring’ technique. But there have since been many attempts to detect HVCs at wavelengths other than H $\alpha$ , with sensitive H $\alpha$  observations having the highest success rate. Wakker & van Woerden (1997) list the following published H $\alpha$  detections of HVCs: HVC 168-43-280 (0.08 R, Kuttyrev & Reynolds 1989), cloud M II (0.1-0.2 R; Munch & Pitz 1990), complex C (0.03 R, Songaila et al. 1989; 0.09 R, Tufte et al. 1998) and the Magellanic Stream (MS II, MS III, and MS IV, at 0.37, 0.21, and 0.20 R respectively; Weiner & Williams 1996).

Other optical detections of HVCs rely primarily on absorption line studies. This work can be used to constrain distances and determine metallicities (i.e. Magellanic metallicities in Lu et al. 1998; see also Schwarz, Wakker & van Woerden 1995). Most detections of visual band and/or ultraviolet absorption by metal ions are listed in Table 3 of Wakker & van Woerden (1997). The ions most commonly detected are CaII, MgII, and CrV. van Woerden et al. (1997) recently used Ca K absorption to determine an upper limit on the distance to complex A, giving 4–11 kpc as the first distance bracket for an HVC. HST is frequently used to search for the UV absorption lines, with the most recent detection by Sahu & Blades (1997) of SiII towards HVC 487.

All CO observations of HVCs have resulted in non-detections (Hulsbosch 1978; Giovanelli 1986; Kim et al. 1989), indicating that some HVCs are further than 3 kpc away. Though previous searches for far infrared emission have been negative (Wakker & Boulanger 1986; Bates et al. 1988; Fong et al. 1987), Ivesic & Christodoulou (1997) searched the IRAS point source catalog and found possible young stellar objects in the H $\alpha$  cores of the M cloud and complex H.

There have been claims for enhanced x-ray emission towards HVCs from ROSAT observations, e.g., towards Complex M near M I and M II (Herbstmeier 1995), and possibly from Complex C (Kerp 1996). Blom (1997) claims extended MeV emission is associated with HVC Complexes M and A, in the same location as associated diffuse soft x-rays.

Absorption at 21 cm has been more successful for HVC detection. It yields a measurement of the hydrogen spin temperature,  $T_s$ , and can be used to determine the kinetic gas temperature (Dickey 1979; Liszt 1983). The results of this work generally yield temperatures between 50–100K. Colgan et al. (1990) finds  $T_s > 20\text{--}70\text{K}$  for the Anticentre Clouds,  $T_s > 20\text{K}$  for HVC 43-13-309, and  $T_s > 10\text{K}$  for the Magellanic Stream. Mebold (1991) find  $T_s > 50\text{K}$  for another position in the Magellanic Stream. Definitive results include two components at 70K and 300K in Cloud R (Payne et al. 1978; Payne et al. 1980) and 50K for complex H (Wakker et al. 1991).

We now present the first simultaneous detection of more than one emission line towards an HVC. The observations presented here were first reported by Bland-Hawthorn (1994). In §2, we describe the observations before summarising the calibrations and reductions in §3. In §4, the high velocity cloud measurements are presented, and these are discussed in the context of ionisation models in §5.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS

The observations were carried out over three long dark nights (1994 Aug 9–12) at the f/8 Cassegrain focus of the AAT 3.9m. Follow-up observations were carried out at f/15 on 1994 Oct 29-30 and 1995 Sep 27. The TAURUS-2 interferometer was used in conjunction with three different Queensgate etalons (Table 1): the HIFI 40 $\mu\text{m}$  gap etalon from the University of Hawaii, the UMD 44 $\mu\text{m}$  etalon from the University of Maryland, and the UNC 19 $\mu\text{m}$  etalon from the University of North Carolina.

A single order of interference was isolated using 4-cavity blocking filters with high throughput (80–90%) and band-passes well matched to the etalon free spectral ranges. The 50mm filters were placed out of focus close to the focal plane and baffled to give a 5.0' field. The etalon was tilted by 3.4° to shift the optical axis to the field edge. An in-focus, focal plane, colander mask was used to ensure that low-order ghosts fall outside the field of view. The TAURUS-2 f/8 pupil diameter is 59.9mm which is oversized for the 50mm diameter etalon. Due to uncertainties of the precise location of the optical cavity within the etalon, we placed a 45.0mm aperture stop immediately in front of the etalon. The pupil stop introduced a major loss of light (50%) compounded by a Cassegrain hole which is 17% of the total pupil area. The full pupil was used in the f/15 observations. The observational set-up was the same except for a 75mm blocking filter centered at 6585Å which was not baffled thereby producing a field of view similar to the f/8 observations.

Observations were made at two closely spaced positions on the Smith cloud and at a sky position 15° away (Fig. 1). The etalon was used at fixed gap spacings and the resulting ring pattern was imaged onto a Tek 1024<sup>2</sup> CCD with pixel scales of 0.594" pix<sup>-1</sup> (f/8) and 0.315" pix<sup>-1</sup> (f/15), with a read noise of 2.3e<sup>-</sup>. The wavelength range is dispersed quadratically over the 5' field at 1Å resolution with blue wavelengths to the north. At both foci, we observed several stellar flux standards (Table 1); at f/8, we also observed four planetary nebulae. Observations were also made in the direction of the South Galactic Pole (Bland-Hawthorn, Freeman & Quinn 1997, hereafter BFQ), and twilight flats were taken on all nights with and without the etalon.

## 3 REDUCTION & ANALYSIS

### 3.1 Spectral extraction

We begin by establishing the optical axis of the incomplete calibration rings to better than 0.1 pix. This was achieved with orthogonal distance regression. Next, we azimuthally bin the calibration rings for all nights to ensure that (a) there were no opto-mechanical shifts, (b) the instrumental response was constant, and (c) the etalon gap zero-point was constant from night to night. In (b), the etalon was found to behave reliably except that there was a slow drift in the optical gap just after twilight on the second night. Three exposures for the Smith II field were not used. In total, we obtained a total of 2.0 hrs on Smith I, 3.7 hrs on Smith II, and 2.0 hrs for the offset sky position. We also have about six hours of observation for each night towards the South Galactic Pole (BFQ).

Some calibration spectra showed baseline variations af-

**Table 1.** (1) Object name (2) AAT focus (3) exposure times (4) local date of observation (5) blocking filter / bandwidth (Å) / tilt angle (6) etalon (7) etalon gap in BCV units (ellipsis indicates full FSR scanned) (8) average airmass (9) seeing disk FWHM (10) position angle of detector on the sky.

| Object                          | focus | exposures | date     | filter    | etalon | gap | airmass | seeing | P.A. |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------|-----|---------|--------|------|
| <i>Object fields</i>            |       |           |          |           |        |     |         |        |      |
| Smith #1                        | f/8   | 10 sec    | 9/8/94   | 6555/45/0 | —      | —   | 1.4     | 1.7    | 0    |
| Smith #1                        | f/8   | 6×20 min  | 9/8/94   | 6555/45/0 | HIFI   | 200 | 1.4     | 1.7    | 0    |
| Smith #2                        | f/8   | 10 sec    | 10/8/94  | 6555/45/0 | —      | —   | 1.4     | 1.3    | 0    |
| Smith #2                        | f/8   | 9×20 min  | 10/8/94  | 6555/45/0 | HIFI   | 200 | 1.4     | 1.3    | 0    |
| Smith #2                        | f/8   | 5×20 min  | 11/8/94  | 6555/45/0 | HIFI   | 180 | 1.2–1.3 | 1.3    | 230  |
| Smith #2                        | f/15  | 20 min    | 29/10/94 | 5012/35/0 | UNC    | 293 | 1.1     | 1.0    | 180  |
| Smith #2                        | f/15  | 20 min    | 30/10/94 | 5012/35/0 | UNC    | 300 | 1.15    | 1.1    | 180  |
| Smith #2                        | f/15  | 5 min     | 27/9/95  | 5019/46/0 | —      | 260 | 1.2     | 0.9    | 180  |
| Smith #2                        | f/15  | 3×20 min  | 27/9/95  | 5019/46/0 | UNC    | 260 | 1.2     | 0.9    | 180  |
| <i>Blank fields</i>             |       |           |          |           |        |     |         |        |      |
| Sky #1                          | f/8   | 6×20 min  | 11/8/94  | 6555/45/0 | HIFI   | 180 | 1.3–1.9 | 1.4    | 230  |
| <i>Flux standard: line</i>      |       |           |          |           |        |     |         |        |      |
| N6072                           | f/8   | 6 min     | 9/8/94   | 6555/45/0 | HIFI   | ... | 1.1     | 1.0    | 0    |
| N6302                           | f/8   | 6 min     | 9/8/94   | 6555/45/0 | HIFI   | ... | 1.1     | 1.3    | 0    |
| N6445                           | f/8   | 6 min     | 10/8/94  | 6555/45/0 | HIFI   | ... | 1.1     | 1.3    | 0    |
| N6563                           | f/8   | 6 min     | 10/8/94  | 6555/45/0 | HIFI   | ... | 1.1     | 1.3    | 0    |
| <i>Flux standard: continuum</i> |       |           |          |           |        |     |         |        |      |
| LTT 7379                        | f/8   | 3×1 min   | 9/8/94   | 6555/45/0 | HIFI   | ... | 1.1     | 1.7    | 0    |
| CD-32 9927                      | f/8   | 3×2 min   | 10/8/94  | 6555/45/0 | HIFI   | ... | 1.1     | 1.3    | 0    |
| Feige 110                       | f/15  | 2 min     | 30/10/94 | 5012/35/0 | UNC    | ... | 1.2     | 2.4    | 180  |
| L870-2                          | f/15  | 3 min     | 30/10/94 | 6594/57/0 | UMd    | ... | 1.2     | 1.6    | 180  |
| HZ 7                            | f/15  | 2 min     | 27/9/95  | 6585/43/8 | HIFI   | 260 | 1.4     | 0.9    | 230  |
| Hiltner 600                     | f/15  | 2 min     | 27/9/95  | 6585/43/8 | HIFI   | 260 | 1.3     | 0.9    | 230  |
| Hiltner 600                     | f/15  | 2 min     | 27/9/95  | 5019/46/0 | UNC    | 260 | 1.3     | 0.9    | 230  |

ter binning over different parts of the field. This arises from illumination (vignette) effects, stray light, chip structure and CCD fringeing which can be divided out reliably with flatfields. The spectral bandpass seen by individual pixels is roughly 1Å where the bandpass centroid declines by 40Å from the optical axis to the field edge. Twilight flats were found to be the most reliable except that the Fraunhofer spectrum leaves its imprint in the data. We divide out the solar spectrum from the flatfield by establishing the mean spectrum and then generating a polar image with this spectrum. Occasionally, the flatfields leave residual fringe structure in the data. It is possible to remove this with azimuthal smoothing but potentially informative, intensity variations in the data will be washed out. CCD fringing constitutes the main systematic error in diffuse detection and provides a major challenge to achieving deeper detection limits than that quoted by Bland-Hawthorn et al. (1994; hereafter BTVS). The response of the blocking filter is removed in the twilight division.

To obtain discrete spectra from the summed CCD images, the data were divided into annular rings one pixel wide. Within each annulus, we can calculate the mean, median or mode of the histogram. Cosmic ray events appear as outliers and are therefore easily removed. We achieved better results when removing the high density of stars across the field due to the low galactic latitude. For all fields, we obtained a short exposure image through the blocking filter without the etalon. This enabled us to identify compact sources and

to mask out these pixels. Typically 12% of the pixels were removed in this way.

In order to demonstrate that the flatfielding was adequate, we divided each summed image into left and right halves and then differenced them (see Fig. 2a). The residual for the brightest line is less than 1% of peak intensity. Across the full bandpass, the residual has a dispersion of 0.9 cts. The positive residual feature at  $\lambda 6564.12$  appears to be real (see below). In Fig. 2b, we show our best model fit to the H $\alpha$  line from the D lamp. Once again, the residual is better than 1% of peak intensity.

### 3.2 Self calibration

While our spectral bandpass is only 40Å, it comprises typically 3–4 OH lines, a geocoronal line, Reynolds layer emission at both H $\alpha$  and [NII] $\lambda 6548$ , and 1–2 continuum features from scattered solar and lunar light. These features provide fundamental calibrations of serendipitous detections within the band.

The OH emission arises from vibrationally and rotationally excited OH molecules in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere (80–100km altitude) due to reactions between H<sup>0</sup> and O<sub>3</sub>. The propagation of density and temperature differences through the atmosphere can cause large variations in both the relative and absolute intensity of the OH lines with periods as short as a few minutes (Adams & Skrutskie 1996). In Fig. 3, we show the temporal dependence of the

**Figure 1.** HI intensity map showing TAURUS-2 fields observed towards the Smith cloud where the axes are given in galactic coordinates (1950.0). The offset sky position is also shown. The black ridge to the north is HI associated with the Galactic plane. The column densities at the positions (I,II) are  $(1.3, 1.5) \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ .

OH lines, geocoronal  $\text{H}\alpha$ , and the sky continuum with respect to local midnight for three consecutive nights. But the dominant effect in our 20 min exposures is the increasing average intensity with the zenith angle of the observation (van Rhijn 1921; Kondratyev 1969). The OH lines in our bandpass arise from the  $\text{P}_1$  and  $\text{P}_2$  branches and vary in an identical manner. Osterbrock & Martel (1992) use OH lines at high spectral resolution to achieve wavelength calibrations to better than  $0.04\text{\AA}$  but over our bandpass we achieve  $0.01\text{\AA}$  at  $1\sigma$  for the brightest line.

There are four discrete components to the  $\text{H}\alpha$  region. In Fig. 3, the geocoronal lines reaches a minimum at local midnight and rises exponentially towards dawn. Close to twilight or in strong moonlight, Fraunhofer  $\text{H}\alpha$  absorption is clearly seen in the night sky spectrum. This is only apparent in the first few frames of our data on each night and is therefore easily corrected for. There are additional con-

tributions from the Reynolds layer, and a third redshifted component due to the high velocity cloud.

The absolute variation of the geocoronal  $\text{H}\alpha$  emission is extremely well behaved from night to night. We are able to predict the strength of this atmospheric line to better than 5% after the first night. The central wavelength was measured at  $\lambda 6562.73$  which we could clearly distinguish from the D lamp wavelength  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6562.80$  at the  $3\sigma$  level (cf. Yelle & Roesler 1985). The geocoronal emission arises from the exosphere at 500 km altitude due to solar  $\text{Ly}\beta$  excitation of neutral hydrogen. This process only excites the  $3^2\text{P}_{3/2,1/2}$  levels which decay to  $2^2\text{S}_{1/2}$ , in contrast to the discharge lamp where all fine structure levels are excited. Since galactic emission is seen in all of our data, it was necessary to difference an observation near twilight with a later observation to measure the central wavelength of the exospheric contribution.

**Figure 2.** *a.*— A comparison of the left (top) and right (middle) halves of the Smith I field. The difference of these two spectra is shown below. The  $+/-$  residual at  $6553\text{\AA}$  amounts to only 1% of the peak line intensity. The positive signal at  $6564.12\text{\AA}$  ( $2.4\sigma$ ) suggests that the  $\text{H}\alpha$  emission in this direction has 20% surface brightness fluctuations on arcminute scales. *b.*— Fraser-Suzuki (1969) model fit to the  $\text{H}\alpha$  calibration line: the residual is less than 1% of the peak line intensity.

The radial velocity at peak intensity of the Reynolds layer  $\text{H}\alpha$  and  $[\text{NII}]$  emission, using new wavelengths from Spyromilio (1995), provides an independent measurement of the Earth-Sun motion with respect to the Local Standard of Rest. Indeed, Reynolds (personal communication, 1997) uses this fact to isolate the galactic emission by up to  $30\text{ km s}^{-1}$  with a suitable choice of observing season.

Apart from extremely precise wavelength calibration, the geocoronal and Reynolds layer emission (and to some extent, the sky continuum) are sensitive to the presence of cirrus. On the third night (Fig. 3), we stopped observing for a short time an hour after midnight. Note the presence of cirrus on both the sky level and the geocoronal emission. Cirrus tends to scatter more light into the beam. For all nights, the conditions were almost always consistently photometric as judged by the Reynolds layer emission. The photometric calibration was achieved using both line and continuum flux standards (Table 1).

For good sky subtraction, we find that it is always necessary to scale the sky lines by some factor even when interleaving sky with source observations. (An important exception is telescope nodding coupled with charge shuffling using an oversized detector now implemented at the AAT.) So we obtained sequential sky observations at a comparable airmass after observing the source. After fitting a Fraser-Suzuki (1969) function to a calibration line, the fit was used to scale the night sky lines before subtracting from the source spectra. The Fraser-Suzuki function is a 5-parameter composite of Lorentzian and Gaussian functions that allows for fine adjustment between symmetric and asymmetric line profiles.

The geocoronal  $\text{H}\alpha$  uncertainties in subtraction amount to less than 100 mR at the LSR velocity from frame to frame. The model subtraction affects the Reynolds emission centroid at the level of  $\sim 1\text{ km/s}$ . This could influence the absolute calibration of line fluxes for the Smith Cloud at the level of 20%.

BTVS determine the deepest  $\text{H}\alpha$  detection possible ( $10^{-3}\text{R}$  at  $1\sigma$ )\* for a diffuse source of emission which fills the field. For sources with small projected velocities, this is only possible for observations close to midnight and towards the galactic poles, in order to suppress both galactic and exospheric emission. The current limit is largely set by systematics due to flatfield structure.

## 4 RESULTS

### 4.1 21cm data

The Smith Cloud was first identified in 1963 as having a large positive velocity in the direction of the Galactic Centre (Smith 1963). Wakker & van Woerden (1991) subsequently noted that there are smaller  $\text{HI}$  clouds associated with this complex (‘GCP’), and that the radial velocity is only  $25\text{ km s}^{-1}$  in excess of their galactic rotation model (cf. Fig. 1 of Wakker 1991).

Integrated  $\text{HI}$  and individual velocity channel maps for

\*  $1\text{ Rayleigh (1R)}$  is  $10^6/4\pi\text{ phot cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}\text{ sr}^{-1}$  or  $2.41\times 10^{-7}\text{ erg cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}\text{ sr}^{-1}$  at  $\text{H}\alpha$ .

**Figure 3.** Variation in night sky emission towards the South Galactic Pole, with respect to local midnight, while tracking an object through the meridian. The OH lines follow a secant law, the exospheric H $\alpha$  shows an exponential time dependence, and the sky continuum exhibits complex behaviour. Data are shown for three consecutive nights in August 1994 (see Table 1): squares (night 1), triangles (night 2) and crosses (night 3). In order of decreasing intensity, the OH lines for nights 1 and 3 are  $\lambda\lambda 6553, 6577, 6568$ , and for night 2 are  $\lambda\lambda 6553, 6568, 6544$ .

the Smith Cloud are shown in Figs. 1 and 4, respectively, and were constructed from Hartmann & Burton’s (1997) Leiden/Dwingeloo Survey (LDS). The cloud has a complex morphology with the peak in H I intensity at  $V_{\text{LSR}} \approx 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . An intense knot is seen at a galactic latitude  $b = 13^\circ$  with faint streamers extending to  $b = 20^\circ$ . The structure is reminiscent of the cometary morphology of some of the cloud complexes in the Magellanic Stream (Mathewson & Ford 1984).

Table 2 summarises the characteristics of the four primary all-sky HVC surveys. While the LDS may suffer somewhat due to its higher HI detection limit<sup>†</sup>, its vastly superior velocity resolution makes it the only survey suitable for studying the internal dynamics of HVCs.

The PGF98 HVC survey, being carried out in tandem with the HI Parkes All-Sky Survey (Staveley-Smith 1997), will offer at least an order of magnitude improvement over the only previous southern survey (B85), in terms of spatial sampling, with the added benefit of a factor of  $\sim 5$  increase

in survey sensitivity and increased resolution. Compact isolated HVCs,  $\sim 80\%$  of which would have been missed by B85, will be a unique contribution of the PGF98 survey. The dramatic improvement in positional accuracy for both existing, and newly discovered, southern HVCs will be an important aspect of the PGF98 work, particularly for future TAURUS-2 emission-line work, as the existing B85 positions are severely compromised by the  $2^\circ$  sampling grid.

In our HVC observing programme, we try to ensure that the small field-of-view of TAURUS-2 is actually sampling the peak of the HI emission. But the new LDS data reveal that we are not always successful. The TAURUS-2 field positions are indicated in Fig. 1. The sky position was chosen to be at roughly the same galactic latitude in order to match the Reynolds layer contribution. This worked surprisingly well given that the WHaM survey of the northern sky has now shown the Reynolds layer emission to be highly structured (Reynolds et al. 1998).

## 4.2 Optical data

In Fig. 5, we show the spectra obtained at Smith positions I and II, and at the offset sky position. Smith II was observed on two nights, and the spectra are shown separately.

<sup>†</sup> Smoothing the LDS to a velocity resolution of  $16 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  would decrease its survey sensitivity to  $0.09 \text{ K}$ , more in line with the detection sensitivity of the earlier HVC surveys of B85 and HW88.

**Table 2.** Survey references (column 1): B85=Bajaja et al. (1985); HW88=Hulsbosch & Wakker (1988); HB97=Hartmann & Burton (1997); PGF98=Putman, Gibson & Freeman (1998). Columns 2-7 list the telescope employed, its half-power beam-width (HPBW), grid sampling, velocity channel width,  $5\sigma$  brightness temperature detection limit, and  $5\sigma$  column density detection limit (for an HVC of linewidth  $20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), respectively.

| Survey | Telescope     | HPBW | Grid | $\Delta v$<br>[ $\text{km s}^{-1}$ ] | $5\sigma$ Detection Limit<br>$T_B$ [K] $N_H$ [ $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ] |
|--------|---------------|------|------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B85    | IAR 30m       | 34'  | 120' | 16                                   | 0.075 $2.7 \times 10^{18}$                                        |
| HW88   | Dwingeloo 25m | 36'  | 60'  | 16                                   | 0.05 $1.8 \times 10^{18}$                                         |
| HB97   | Dwingeloo 25m | 36'  | 30'  | 1                                    | 0.35 $1.3 \times 10^{19}$                                         |
| PGF98  | Parkes 64m    | 14'  | 6'   | 13.2                                 | 0.017 $6.2 \times 10^{17}$                                        |

**Figure 4.** Velocity channel maps showing kinematic structure along the Smith cloud. Most of the H I is confined to the LSR velocity range 70 to  $115 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The greyscale indicates brightness temperatures in the range 0 (white) to 5 K (black).

The Reynolds layer is easily detected in  $\text{H}\alpha$  at all positions, in [N II] at Smith I and II, and only weakly in [N II] at the offset position. Smith I and the sky position are affected by Fraunhofer  $\text{H}\alpha$  absorption indicated by ‘F’ on the blue wing. This is easily corrected for due to the large amplitude change in line flux near twilight. We superimpose the

model for the night sky emission from scaling our fit to the calibration line. The residual for each of the four spectra is shown below. We have superimposed the residual spectra for both nights of the Smith II observations. These agree remarkably well in accordance with the excellent photometric conditions. The residuals at each of the OH lines amount to

7% of peak intensity. We have not attempted to subtract the incomplete OH feature at  $\lambda 6577$  which falls at the extreme of the spectral range.

Unfortunately, a filter was not available at the time of observation for the red [NII] line. However, we achieved an adequate signal to noise ratio in the wing of the blue [NII] line ( $3.3\sigma$  per resolution element on average). In Figs. 6-8, we show magnified regions over the  $H\alpha$  and NII lines, and compare to the H I profile as a function of the LSR velocity. The ratio of [NII] to  $H\alpha$  is also shown as a function of LSR velocity. Only a rough comparison can be drawn between the optical and H I data. The H I profile is integrated over a  $30'$  beam, compared to the  $5'$  beam of the Fabry-Perot data.

At the offset sky position, no H I emission is seen beyond about  $50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and this also holds for the [NII] and  $H\alpha$  emission. The [NII]/ $H\alpha$  ratio is roughly 0.15, typical of solar-abundance H II regions than the warm ionised medium. There are several candidates in the NED database which could conceivably explain this low ratio for the Reynolds layer.

In Figs. 6 and 7, extended [NII] and  $H\alpha$  emission is seen out to  $130 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  towards Smith I and II. At Smith I, the [NII]/ $H\alpha$  ratio reaches  $0.9 \pm 0.1$  at  $V_{\text{LSR}} = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . At Smith II, [NII]/ $H\alpha$  reaches a somewhat lower value of  $0.7 \pm 0.1$  at  $V_{\text{LSR}} = 80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . At both positions, the H I intensity peaks at an intermediate value of  $V_{\text{LSR}} = 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . These ratios are factors of 3–4 times higher than observed in the Reynolds layer emission ( $0.25 \pm 0.07$ ), and 1.5–2.5 times higher than reported for high latitude gas in spirals. This indicates that [NII] $\lambda 6583$ / $H\alpha$  falls in the range 2.1–2.7. Such enhanced ‘low ionisation’ line strengths for diffuse ionised emission have recently been reported in the outer parts of spirals (BFQ). In Fig. 8, the [NII]/ $H\alpha$  ratio in the Reynolds emission is a factor of two smaller than the canonical value. We note that the off-field line-of-sight passes closer to the Galactic Centre than the Smith Cloud direction, and may therefore result from direct or scattered emission originating in luminous star forming complexes.

In order to determine the [NII] and  $H\alpha$  line fluxes for the Smith Cloud, we modelled the line ratio dependence with velocity in terms of two components: a Reynolds layer contribution peaking at  $+8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with a declining red wing, and a contribution from the HVC peaking at  $+100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Smith I) and  $+80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Smith II). For the Smith I field, we derive  $\mathcal{E}_m(H\alpha) = 0.73 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$  (0.24R) and  $\mathcal{E}_m([NII]) = 0.42 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$  (0.14R). For the Smith II field, we derive  $\mathcal{E}_m(H\alpha) = 0.89 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$  (0.30R) and  $\mathcal{E}_m([NII]) = 0.52 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$  (0.17R). While the shot noise uncertainty is approximately 10%, the formal errors (25–30%) are dominated by the systematics of our model separation. The Reynolds layer  $H\alpha$  flux at both positions is  $5.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$  (1.8R).

In Fig. 9, we show the observed spectrum for the Smith II region in the vicinity of [OIII] $\lambda 5007$  (indicated by an arrow). While we have managed only a moderately deep upper limit at [OIII] (0.12R at  $3\sigma$ ), this provides an important constraint on photoionisation models.

## 5 DISCUSSION

### 5.1 Overview

In this section, we argue that the  $H\alpha$  flux from the Smith Cloud arises from photoionisation by OB stars dispersed over the Galactic disk. That the line ratio peaks close to the LSR velocity of the H I peak is strongly suggestive of *in situ* photoionisation rather than shocks. Therefore, the enhanced [NII]/ $H\alpha$  line ratio and non-detection of [OIII] indicates that the radiation field is very dilute (cf. Fig. 10 in BFQ). There are at least two interpretations for this diluteness. First, the Smith Cloud lies close to the Galactic plane in a region of shadow from strong UV sources. Secondly, and more interestingly, the Smith Cloud lies at a large galactocentric distance. For the latter, the observed  $H\alpha$  emission measure can be converted to a rough distance once the Galactic halo ionising field is established (Bland-Hawthorn & Maloney 1998; hereafter BM).

We have not attempted a Galactic extinction correction for the line fluxes since the mean column density towards the Smith Cloud (Smith I:  $N_H = 1.3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ; Smith II:  $N_H = 1.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ) appears to be dominated by the cloud itself. The TAURUS-2 fields sit on top of the E(B-V)=0.18 contour in Fig. 5a of Burstein & Heiles (1982). While the cloud lies at low galactic latitude ( $b = -15^\circ$ ), its longitude ( $l = +40^\circ$ ) is orthogonal to the direction of the warp (see Fig. 10; Burton & te Lintel Hekkert 1986).

### 5.2 A distance for the Smith Cloud

We now determine a distance for the Smith Cloud on the basis of the observed  $H\alpha$  emission. The emission measure  $\mathcal{E}_m$  from the surface of a cloud embedded in a bath of ionising radiation gives a direct gauge, independent of distance, of the ambient radiation field beyond the Lyman continuum (Lyc) edge. This assumes that the covering fraction *seen by the ionising photons* is known (assumed to be unity) and that there are sufficient gas atoms to soak up the incident ionising photons. BM present a model for the Galactic halo ionising field normalized by recent  $H\alpha$  detections along the Magellanic Stream (Weiner & Williams 1996). A summary (and parametric form) of the model for the Galactic halo is given in the appendix.

Uniformly enhanced [NII]/ $H\alpha$  emission in diffuse gas is generally observed at large distances from the source of ionisation, in particular, the young stellar disk. Examples include gas in face-on spirals (e.g., Hoopes et al. 1996), in edge-on spirals (e.g., Veilleux et al. 1995), and at spiral edges (BFQ). BFQ (see their Fig. 10) note that an enhanced [NII]/ $H\alpha$  ratio occurs when the ionisation parameter ( $\mathcal{U} = 10^4 \varphi_4 / cn_H$ ) is less than  $10^{-4}$ . This measures the ratio of the number of ionising photons  $\varphi_4$  (in units of  $10^4 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) to the number of neutral gas atoms  $n_H$  ( $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) at the surface of the H I cloud. The observed  $H\alpha$  emission measures imply  $\varphi_4 = 60$  (see appendix) such that we derive a lower limit on the gas density,  $n_H \gtrsim 0.2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . (An upper bound comes from the [SII] doublet: when these lines are detected in diffuse emission, they are always found to be in the low density limit, i.e.  $\sim 10^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ .) Thus, the diluteness inferred from the line ratio is consistent with the weakness of the  $H\alpha$  emission, and with the non-detection of [OIII] $\lambda 5007$  in §4.2.

If the cloud distance is  $D_c$ , the Galactocentric (cylindrical) radius is  $R'_c$ , and the Solar radius is  $R_o$  (see Fig. 12),



**Figure 5.** *a.*— Spectrum for the Smith I field overlaid on the modelled night sky spectrum. The residual is also shown after subtracting the night sky lines. “F” denotes the presence of a Fraunhofer absorption feature. *b.*— Spectra for the Smith II field. The data taken on night 2 have been offset by 30 cts, and the data for night 3 are shown below. The night sky emission is subtracted as in *a*, and the residuals for both nights are plotted together. These data are remarkably consistent given that they were taken on different nights, and the geocoronal H $\alpha$  emission has been subtracted from both plots. *c.*— Spectrum and residual for the sky field.

these quantities are related via the galactic coordinates,  $(\ell, b)$ , viz.

$$D_c = \sec b (R_o \cos \ell + \sqrt{R_c'^2 - R_o^2 \sin^2 \ell}) \quad (1)$$

for radii  $R_c' \geq R_o \sin \ell$ . (We reserve  $R_c$  for the true radius to the HVC such that  $R_c^2 = R_c'^2 + Z_c^2$ .) The tangent point ( $R_c' = R_o \sin \ell$ ) in the direction of the Smith complex is 5.1 kpc from the Galactic Centre and 6.1 kpc from the Sun. Fig. 11 illustrates the relation  $(D_c/R_c')$  vs.  $(R_c'/R_o)$  for different longitudes ( $b = 0$ ). For the models below, we adopt  $R_o = 8.0$  kpc (Reid 1993).

The Galactic halo ionisation model is described in detail by BM. The model comprises an opaque, exponential disk of isotropic UV emitters encompassed by a hot halo. In computing the expected emission measures, the main assumption is that there are sufficient gas atoms to soak up the UV field ( $N_H \geq 3 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ). We have idealised the HVC as an H I slab at some angle to the Galactic plane

(Fig. 12), where  $\pi$  is the angle between the Galactocentric radius vector  $\mathbf{c}$  and the normal to the cloud face (Fig. 12).

For the results presented in Fig. 13, we examine two cases: (i) an H I slab faced downwards lying parallel to the Galactic plane; (ii) an H I slab whose face is oriented to maximise the received flux from the disk ( $\pi = 0$  in Fig. 12). We present results for two different values of the mean optical depth at the Lyman limit,  $\tau_{LL}$ , measured vertically to the disk: (i)  $\tau_{LL} = 0$ , for illustrative purposes; (ii)  $\tau_{LL} = 2.8$ , in order to explain the H $\alpha$  measurements along the Magellanic Stream (BM). The first limit is ruled out by the neutral gas fraction along the Stream (Bland-Hawthorn & Maloney 1997), but we include this model for illustration and interpolation.

In Fig. 13, the predicted emission measures are shown for different vertical distances,  $Z_c$ , as a function of  $D_c'$ , which is the projection of the true distance from the Sun,  $D_c$ , in the Galactic plane. The results are corrected for the slab orientation seen from the Sun’s position (Fig. 12). The cor-

**Figure 6.** Comparison of H $\alpha$ , [NII] and HI emission with LSR velocity for the Smith I field. (a) HI spectrum for 0.5° field, (b) line ratio formed from (c) the [NII] spectrum, and (d) the H $\alpha$  spectrum. The [NII]/H $\alpha$  ratio (0.25) across the Reynolds Layer is characteristic of the warm ionised medium, but the ratio peaks at roughly unity close to the HI velocity centroid (90 km s<sup>-1</sup>) of the HVC. The H $\alpha$  line has been corrected for Fraunhofer absorption; the effect on the line ratios before this correction is shown with open symbols.

rection factors are

$$\sec \psi = \frac{D_c}{Z_c} \quad (\text{downward face}) \quad (2)$$

$$= D_c \frac{\sqrt{R_c'^2 + Z_c^2}}{R_c'^2 + Z_c^2 - X_c R_o} \quad (\text{maximising face}) \quad (3)$$

( $X_c R_o < R_c'^2 + Z_c^2$ ) for which  $\psi$  is the angle between our line of sight and the normal to the cloud face. The quantity  $X_c$  is the component of  $\mathbf{c}$  in the direction of the Sun.

The peak in  $\mathcal{E}_m$  offset from the origin occurs at closest approach to the Galactic Centre ( $R_c' = R_o \sin l$ ). The expected emission measures close to the plane fall more rapidly with radius due to the opacity of the disk. The trend is much slower at larger vertical distances as the cloud face absorbs flux from most of the disk, and the secant opacity law has only a small effect.

The galactic latitude of the Smith Cloud ( $b = -15^\circ \pm 5^\circ$ ) provides a further constraint. From equation (1), the

vertical cloud distance,  $Z_c$ , is related to the Galactic radius  $R_c'$  for a fixed galactic coordinate position, since

$$Z_c = D_c \sin b. \quad (4)$$

This equation is used to derive the bold curves in Figs. 13a and 13c. Note that even though the curves go through a maximum, there is no distance ambiguity for an observed H $\alpha$  flux due to the latitude constraint in equation 4.

We evaluate the distance for a mean H $\alpha$  surface brightness of 0.27R. The systematic error in separating the HVC from the Reynolds contribution dominates and leads to a projected distance  $D_c' = 25 \pm 0.6$  kpc (Fig. 13a). This assumes we are observing through an optically thick facet of the cloud parallel with the Galactic plane. If instead we are observing the face which maximises the received flux from the Galaxy (Fig. 13c), the derived (projected) distance is  $26 \pm 0.5$  kpc. Across both models, and correcting for the vertical height (equation 4), we determine that the true cloud distance is  $26 \pm 1$  kpc. Prima facie, it may seem surprising that the maximum flux model does not produce a signifi-

**Figure 7.** Same as Fig. 6 for the Smith II field. The  $[\text{NII}]/\text{H}\alpha$  ratio peaks at 0.7 close to  $V_{\text{LSR}} = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The observations for the third night are not shown as they are entirely consistent with the second night's observations shown here.

cantly larger distance for the cloud. But the correction factors in equation 2 favour the ‘downward face’ model, and largely compensate for the extra flux received by the ‘maximising face’ model when  $\pi = 0$  (see Fig. 12).

In practice, we do not doubt that the true uncertainty is considerably larger. These models assume that the covering fraction of the HI slab is unity as seen by the ionising photons, and that the Galactic dust and ionising sources are smoothly distributed. The unobscured UV luminosity from the disk is known to better than a factor of two (BM). We suspect that the emergent UV at the distance of the Magellanic Stream is probably good to a factor of 2 – 3. This leads to a much larger uncertainty (Fig. 13) of 4 kpc in our distance estimation. Therefore, we derive a cloud distance of  $26 \pm 4$  kpc, which corresponds to a Galactic radius of  $20 \pm 4$  kpc (equation 1).

We have looked at the complex problem of cloud geometry and porosity for a range of cloud distributions (e.g. fractals; see Pfenniger & Combes 1994). The surfaces of these clouds are ‘heated’ by both isotropic and anisotropic sources of ionisation. Our simulations produce clouds that

are roughly spheroidal. We find that ‘volume brightened’ clouds at  $21 \text{ cm}^{\dagger}$ , with large filling factors, can exhibit ‘limb brightening’ at  $\text{H}\alpha$  over much of the Smith Cloud on arcminute scales.

The expected contrast level  $\mathcal{C}$  is given roughly by

$$\mathcal{C} \sim 2 \left( \frac{d\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{B}} \right)^{0.5} \left( \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{B}} \right)^{0.5} \quad (5)$$

where  $d\mathcal{R}$  is the depth of the ionised layer,  $\mathcal{R}$  is the radius of curvature at the ionised surface, and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the beam size. The plasma thickness is roughly  $d\mathcal{R} \sim (10/n_o) \text{ pc}$ , where  $n_o \text{ (cm}^{-3}\text{)}$  is the neutral gas density at the cloud surface. For data of sufficient quality, we recommend dividing up the data to see if higher levels of  $\text{H}\alpha$  contrast and variance are apparent.

For our data, we require the full  $5'$  field to obtain sufficient signal. If we take  $\mathcal{R} = 2^\circ$  at the bright tip of the

<sup>†</sup> We adopt ‘volume brightened’ as the antonym of ‘limb brightened’ to indicate that spheroidal clouds appear brighter through the centre due to the larger column.

**Figure 8.** Same as Fig. 6 for the sky field. The  $[\text{NII}]/\text{H}\alpha$  ratio through the Reynolds layer ( $\approx 0.15$ ) is more characteristic of solar-abundance HII regions. The blue wing of the  $\text{H}\alpha$  line is shown without the Fraunhofer correction.

Smith Cloud (Fig. 1), equation 5 predicts that limb brightening should be observable ( $n_o \sim 1$ ). The observed variance (in the absence of limb brightening) is expected to be no greater than 50% or so. More reliable estimates of HVC distances will come from complete maps over the projected cloud surface in order to identify local enhancements due to the surface geometry.

The surface ionisation of clouds close to the disk will be highly susceptible to the poorly known distribution of dust and UV sources, not to mention shadowing of the disk by other HVCs. But it is anticipated that more distant HVCs will reveal more uniform  $\text{H}\alpha$  emission over the cloud surface compared to HVCs closer to the disk. Indeed, the  $\text{H}\alpha$  variances, the degree of limb brightening and the mean flux level, taken together, are needed to improve the reliability of the  $\text{H}\alpha$  distance method.

For the Smith Cloud flux levels, the Galactic coronal emission (Fig. 13b) is not expected to influence our distance estimate. In Fig. 13d, we show the expected flux levels on the upper side of the H I cloud which, for the most part, are undetectable (BTVS). Thus we anticipate that, in general,

the observed limb brightening at  $\text{H}\alpha$  due to disk ionisation will be highly asymmetric.

Wakker & van Woerden (1991) determine that the Smith complex extends over 44 square degrees with a flux density of  $2.2 \times 10^4 \text{ Jy km s}^{-1}$ . If this cloud lies near the tangent point, the H I mass is  $2.1 \times 10^5 M_\odot$  (corrected to a Solar radius of 8.0 kpc). For our revised distance, we derive a cloud mass of  $3.6 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ .

### 5.3 Alternative ionising sources

A crucial assumption of our model is that the halo radiation field at the surface of an HVC is dominated by the young stellar disk. But gas heated by supernovae can puncture the cold disk and escape into the halo (Norman & Ikeuchi 1989). The hot halo gas may form a galactic wind, a hydrostatic atmosphere around the Galaxy or, more likely, it may eventually cool, recombine and descend onto the disk, establishing a galactic fountain (Shapiro & Field 1976; Bregman 1980; Houck & Bregman 1990; Shapiro & Benjamin 1991). In this picture, the Galactic fountain is largely responsible

**Figure 9.** Spectrum of Smith II for the [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 region. No emission was detected at the expected position shown by an arrow. The He I  $\lambda$ 5015.68 calibration line is shown in comparison.

for the hot corona and may possibly explain HVCs as material involved in the circulation process (but see Wakker & van Woerden 1991). The cool, descending gas of the galactic fountain would be detectable at anomalous velocities in 21cm emission. Theoretically, the maximum velocity of gas streaming to the disk is roughly  $100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . High apparent velocities are predicted only for distant clouds, because of the particular mechanism of acceleration in combination with projection effects induced by galactic rotation. Thus, the model can explain the existence of gas with velocities up to  $200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , but not if it is generally nearby.

The importance of detecting HVCs in more than one emission line cannot be overstated. A fountain is expected to produce strong low ionisation lines ( $\text{O}^0, \text{O}^+, \text{N}^+$ , etc.) due to shocks and perhaps metal-enriched material from the central disk (Shapiro & Benjamin 1991). The few HVCs with constrained metallicities have abundances no more than one third of solar abundance (de Boer & Savage 1984; Blades et al. 1988). While metal abundances are uncertain, the emission lines provide an important diagnostic, particularly in constraining the ionisation parameter. Detailed line ratios have been computed for a range of ionising sources, including shocks, non-thermal power laws, and hot young stars observed through an opaque medium (Mathis 1986; Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987; Sokolowski 1992; Sutherland & Dopita 1993; Shapiro & Benjamin 1991). If the surfaces of HVCs are collisionally ionised, it is unlikely that  $\mathcal{E}_m$  can give a useful constraint on distance.

However, as noted in §4.2, the  $[\text{NII}]/\text{H}\alpha$  ratio peaks within  $10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  of the  $\text{H I}$  velocity. This is a major problem for shock ionisation models where the emitting regions arise from downstream gas and are therefore kinematically separated from the pre-shock material (e.g., Sutherland & Dopita 1993). Wolfire et al. (1995) discuss alternative sources of HVC ionisation and heating, but these are not expected to produce significant optical line emission.

#### 5.4 Possible association with the Sgr dwarf?

The Galaxy is encircled by satellite galaxies which appear confined to one or two great ‘streams’ across the sky (q.v. Lynden-Bell & Lynden-Bell 1995). The most renowned of these are the Magellanic Clouds and the associated  $\text{H I}$  stream. All of these are expected to merge with the Galaxy in the distant future, largely due to dynamical friction from the extended dark halo (Tremaine 1976). But the most spectacular accreting satellite, the Sgr dwarf, was discovered only four years ago by Ibata, Gilmore & Irwin (1994).

Sgr is approximately 25 kpc from the Sun, and  $16 \pm 2$  kpc from the Galactic Centre. The long axis of the prolate body (axis ratios  $\sim 3:1:1$ ) is roughly 10 kpc, oriented perpendicular to the Galactic plane along  $l = 6^\circ$ , centred at  $b = -15^\circ$ . Sgr contains a mix of stellar populations, an extended dark halo (mass  $\geq 10^9 M_\odot$ ) and at least four globular clusters (Ibata et al. 1997). In Fig. 10, we illustrate the relative positions of the Smith Cloud, the Sgr dwarf and the Magellanic Clouds. The Magellanic Stream runs parallel to  $\Theta = 90^\circ/270^\circ$  through the Lagrangian point of the LMC/SMC system. The positions of the other dwarf spheroidals are given by Majewski (1994). The Smith Cloud and Sgr are at an identical galactic latitude, and  $35^\circ$  apart in longitude.

Recent work (Ibata, personal communication) reveals that the angular size of Sgr is close to  $30^\circ$  (12 kpc) extending almost perpendicular to the Galactic plane. The Smith Cloud is about half this size if the distance from the Sun is comparable; its orientation is  $45^\circ$  to the plane. The projected separation is slightly more than the projected extent of Sgr. The difference in velocity ( $\sim 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) is three times higher than expected for gas in dynamical equilibrium at 15 kpc around a  $10^9$  solar mass object. The total  $\text{H I}$  mass of the cloud is about 10% of the visible matter in Sgr.

If our distance range for the Smith Cloud is reliable, it suggests a possible association with the Sgr dwarf. In

**Figure 10.** Location of the Smith Cloud ( $b = -15^\circ$ ) seen from the South Galactic Pole projected onto the Galactic plane. The circles are drawn at radii of 30, 40, 50 and 60 kpc. The galactic azimuthal angle  $\Theta$  differs from galactic longitude  $\ell$  in the position of the origin, taken here to be the Galactic Centre. The positions of the Sgr dwarf ( $b = -14.5^\circ$ ) and the Magellanic Clouds (LMC:  $b = -33^\circ$ , SMC:  $b = -44^\circ$ ) are also shown. The ‘w’ labels show where the outer warp in the Galactic H I occurs. The ‘?’ label indicates where a counter feature to the Smith Cloud may fall if the latter is associated with the Sgr dwarf.

the rest frame of the Galaxy, for Sgr,  $V_{\text{GSR}} \approx 172 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Ibata, Gilmore & Irwin 1995) compared with  $V_{\text{GSR}} = 236 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for the Smith Cloud (assuming a rotation speed of  $220 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  at the Solar Circle). The stretched appearance of the H I is suggestive of a tidal feature in strong interactions. If the cloud constitutes a gaseous tidal arm, we predict a counterpart on the same galactic latitude ( $b = -15^\circ$ ) at longitude  $\ell \sim 330^\circ$ , and GSR velocity  $\sim 110 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This putative cloud has an LSR velocity greater than  $200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and would therefore be labelled a ‘high velocity cloud’.

A direct association of the H I with Sgr poses problems

for our current understanding of the precursor since the dominant stellar population is old (Fahlman et al. 1996) with  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \approx -1$  (Whitlock, Catchpole & Irwin 1996). Since the Smith Cloud gas falls well beyond the expected tidal radius of Sgr ( $\sim 5 \text{ kpc}$ ), an alternative interpretation is that the Smith Cloud has been dislodged from the outer H I disk by the impact of the Sgr dwarf. Such a model may be consistent with Ibata et al. (1997, Fig. 11) who use numerical simulations to show that Galactic tides can force a dwarf spheroidal into a prolate shape. Most models favour a short period orbit ( $\leq 1 \text{ Gyr}$ ; Oh, Lin & Aarseth 1995) implying

**Figure 11.** The cloud distance from the Sun,  $D_c$ , can be related to the Galactocentric (cylindrical) radius  $R'_c$  and the Solar radius  $R_o$  through the galactic longitude,  $\ell$  (see eqn. 1). This relation is illustrated for 5 different values of galactic longitude,  $\ell = 5^\circ, 20^\circ, 40^\circ, 60^\circ$  and  $80^\circ$ . The approximate distances of the Smith Cloud and Sgr dwarf are shown.

**Figure 12.** A high velocity cloud (HVC) is modelled as an optically thick slab of HI gas inclined at an angle  $\pi$  to the radial vector  $\mathbf{c}$  from the Galactic Centre (GC);  $\psi$  is the angle made by our sight line to the cloud face. We consider the influence of ionising radiation on the upper and lower faces by the disk and halo radiation fields.

**Figure 13.** Predicted emission measure  $\mathcal{E}_m$  as a function of projected cloud distance  $D'_c$  (the true distance from the Sun to the cloud projected in the Galactic plane) in the plane of constant galactic longitude,  $\ell = 40^\circ$ . The tangent point to the Galactic Centre occurs at  $D'_c = R_o \cos \ell$ . The models include (a) an exponential disk radiation field, and (b) a composite halo + disk radiation field. The solid curves are for an optically thick disk ( $\tau_{LL} = 2.8$ ), the dashed curves for an optically thin disk ( $\tau_{LL} = 0$ ). From top to bottom (at the origin), the curves correspond to constant  $Z_c$  values of 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 50 kpc. The emission measures are for a cloud face parallel with the galactic disk. The thick dot-dash line marked by circles relates the observed galactic latitude of the cloud to the cloud distance (eqn. 4). In (c), we show the observed emission measures towards an H I slab whose orientation maximises the received flux from the disk. In (d), we show predicted values for the upper face of the optically thick slab in Fig. 12. For all models, the vertical axes have units of  $\log(\text{cm}^{-6} \text{ pc})$ ; these can be converted to  $\log(\text{Rayleighs})$  by subtracting 0.48.

that Sgr has undergone at least a dozen perigalacticon passages, consistent with the minimum age of the dominant stellar population.

If future x-ray surveys detect either emission (e.g., Bone, Hartquist & Sandford 1983) or absorption (e.g., Wang & Taisheng 1996) towards the Smith Cloud, this would have important ramifications. Nearby H I clouds have been observed in shadow against the soft x-ray background (e.g., Burrows & Mendenhall 1991). There have even been claims for x-ray shadows from extragalactic objects (Barber, Roberts & Warwick 1996). After repeated attempts, we were not allowed access to the ROSAT high resolution database in order to check this. The low resolution ( $40'$ ), ROSAT all-sky survey shows no obvious emission or absorption in the direction of the Smith Cloud.

## 6 CONCLUSIONS

The recent detections of the Magellanic Stream in H $\alpha$  (Weiner & Williams 1996) provided Bland-Hawthorn & Mal-

oney (1998) with the critical normalisation for the emergent UV flux from the Galactic disk. This model has been used to predict the ionising field throughout the Galactic halo. We determine a distance to the Smith Cloud of  $26 \pm 4$  kpc on the basis of the H $\alpha$  flux alone. In support of this picture, the [N II]/H $\alpha$  is greatly enhanced at the velocity of the cloud and [O III] must be very weak, which together indicate a dilute ionising field impinging the cloud surface.

Possible complications are cloud geometry, porosity, and uncertain extinction corrections. A lower mean disk opacity would put the clouds further away. We anticipate that our model is more reliable for clouds at greater vertical distances as this tends to average out structure in the distribution of UV sources. Clouds within a few kpc of the Galactic plane could be in relative shadow, particularly for opaque disk models.

More accurate distances for HVCs will come from large H I surveys with optical follow-up. A crucial development has been the availability of target lists with higher sensitivity and better resolution, in particular, the Parkes H I Multi-



beam Survey (Staveley-Smith 1997). More recent detections at the AAT are sufficiently strong to suggest that a significant number of clouds should be observable with this technique. As such, it provides a crucial test of the Blitz-Spergel model (Blitz et al. 1996; Spergel et al. 1996) which places roughly half of all high velocity clouds at extragalactic distances ( $\sim 1$  Mpc) within the Local Group, in which case none of the clouds should be detectable at these levels.

We have set out to present a simplified picture as a challenge to theorists and experimentalists alike. The observational programmes that we have described here only require small to medium-sized telescopes (0.5–4m) since both HVC and Stream clouds subtend large angles, and the line surface brightness is expected to be fairly constant over large angular scales ( $\leq 1^\circ$ ). Since the Fabry-Perot interferogram is binned azimuthally in order to produce the detection, the site does not require good seeing although it should have relatively good photometric stability. A dry site is favoured because variable water vapour features can complicate sky subtraction for  $\mathcal{E}_m < 1 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$ . We encourage a more widespread interest in the Fabry-Perot ‘staring’ technique as it is set to make a profound contribution to the understanding of both galactic and extragalactic radiation fields over the coming years.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are indebted to G. da Costa for clarifying recent developments in the study of dwarf spheroidals. We thank R. Ibata, B. Koribalski and C.G. Tinney for their assistance with important references, and a highly competent referee for recommendations which encouraged us to be more circumspect in our analysis of errors.

## REFERENCES

- Adams, J.D. & Skrutskie, M.F. 1996, preprint (<http://pegasus.phast.umass.edu/2mass/teaminfo/airglow.html>)
- Bajaja, E., et al. 1985, ApJS, 58, 143
- Barber, C.R., Roberts, T.P. & Warwick, R.S. 1996, MNRAS, 282, 157
- Bates, B., Catney, M. G., & Keenan, F. P. 1988, Ap&SS, 146, 195
- Blades, J. C., et al. 1988, ApJ, 332, L75
- Bland-Hawthorn, J. 1994, BAAS, 185, #12.09
- Bland-Hawthorn, J. & Maloney, P. R. 1997, PASA, 14, 59
- Bland-Hawthorn, J. & Maloney, P. R. 1998, ApJ, submitted
- Bland-Hawthorn, J., Freeman, K. C. & Quinn, P.J. 1997, ApJ, 490, 143
- Bland-Hawthorn, J., Taylor, K., Veilleux, S. & Shopbell, P. L. 1994, ApJ, 437, L95
- Blitz, L., Spergel, D.N., Teuben, P.J., Hartmann, D., and Burton, B. 1996, BAAS, 189, #61.01
- Blom, J. J. 1997, A&A, 321, 288
- Bone, D. A., Hartquist, T. W. & Sanford, P. 1983, Ap&SS, 146, 195
- Bregman, J. N. 1980, ApJ, 236, 577
- Burrows, A. N. & Mendenhall, J. A. 1991, Nature, 351, 629
- Burstein, D. & Heiles, C. 1982, AJ, 87, 1165
- Burton, W. B. & de Lint Hekkert, P. 1986, A&AS, 65, 427
- Colgan, S. W. J., Salpeter, E. E., & Terzian, Y. 1990, ApJ, 351, 503
- Cram, T. R. & Giovanelli, R. 1976, A&A, 48, 39
- de Boer, K. S. & Savage, B. D. 1984, A&A, 136, L7
- Dickey, J. M. 1979, ApJ, 233, 558
- Fahlman, G. et al. 1996, ApJ, 459, L65
- Ferrara, A. & Field, G. B. 1994, ApJ, 423, 665
- Fong, R., Jones, L. R., Shanks, T., Stevenson, P. R. F., Strong, A. W., Dawe, J. A., & Fransson, C. & Chevalier, R. A. 1987, ApJ, 296, 35
- Fraser, R.D.B. & Suzuki, E. 1969, Anal. Chem., 41, 1
- Giovanelli, R. 1986, Proc. NRAO Workshop No. 12, Gaseous Halos of Galaxies, IAU Symp. No. 77, NRAO, Green Bank, p. 99
- Hartmann, D. P. & Burton, W. B. 1997, Atlas of Galactic Neutral Hydrogen, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Herbstmeier, U. 1995, A&A, 298, 606
- Hoopes, C.G., Walterbos, R.A.M., & Greenwalt, B.E., 1996, AJ, 112, 1429
- Houck, J. C. & Bregman, J. N. 1990, ApJ352, 506
- Hulsbosch, A. N. M. 1978, A&AS, 33, 383
- Hulsbosch, A. N. M. & Wakker, B. P. 1988, A&AS, 75, 191
- Ibata, R., Gilmore, G., & Irwin, M. 1994, Nature, 370, 194
- Ibata, R., Gilmore, G., & Irwin, M. 1995, MNRAS, 277, 781
- Ibata, R., Wyse, R., Gilmore, G., Irwin, M. & Suntzeff, N. 1997, AJ, 113, 634
- Ivezic, Z., & Christodoulou, D. M. 1997, ApJ, 486, 818
- Kerp, J. 1996, A&A, 312, 67
- Kim, K. T., Minh, Y. C., & Hasegawa, T. L. 1989, J. Korean Astron. Soc., 22, 25
- Kondratyev, K. Ya, 1969, Radiation in the Atmosphere (New York: Acad. Press)
- Kutyrev, A. S. & Reynolds, R. J. 1989, ApJ, 344, L9
- Liszt, H. S. 1983, ApJ, 275, 163
- Lu, L., Savage, B. D., Sembach, K. R. & Wakker, B. P. 1998, ApJ, in press
- Lynden-Bell, D. & Lynden-Bell, R.M. 1995, MNRAS, 275, 429
- Majewski, S.R. 1994, ApJ, 431, L17
- Mathewson, D. S. & Ford, V. L. 1984, in IAU, 108, 125, In Structure & Evolution of the Magellanic Clouds, eds. S. van den Bergh & K.S. de Boer, Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 125
- Mathis, J. 1986, ApJ, 301, 423
- Mebold, U. 1991, in Haynes, R. & Milne, D., eds, The Magellanic Clouds, Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 463
- Muller, C. A., et al. 1963, Comp. Rend. ASP 257, 1661
- Munch, G. & Pitz, E. 1990, in Structure and Dynamics of the Interstellar Medium, IAU Coll. 120, eds. G. Tenorio-Tagle, M. Moles, J. Melnick, p. 373, Berlin: Springer
- Norman, C. & Ikeuchi, S. 1989, ApJ345, 372
- Oh, K.S., Lin, D.N.C. & Aarseth, S.J. 1995, ApJ, 442, 142
- Osterbrock, D.E. & Martel, A. 1992, PASP, 104, 76
- Payne, H. E., Dickey, J. M., Salpeter, E. E., & Terzian, Y. 1978, ApJ, 221, L95
- Payne, H. E., Salpeter, J. M. & Terzian, Y. 1980, ApJ, 240, 499
- Pfenniger, D. & Combes, F. 1994, A&A, 285, 94
- Putman, M. E., Gibson, B. K. & Freeman, K. C. 1998, in preparation
- Reid, M. 1993, ARA&A, 31, 345
- Reynolds, R. J. 1987, ApJ, 323, 553
- Reynolds, R. J., et al. 1998, PASA, 15, 14
- Sahu, M. S. & Blades, J. C. 1997, ApJ, 484, L125
- Schwarz, U. J., Wakker, B. P., & van Woerden, H. 1995, A&A, 302, 364
- Shapiro, P. L. & Benjamin, R. 1991, PASP, 103, 923
- Shapiro, P. R. & Field, G. B. 1976, ApJ, 205, 762
- Smith, G. P. 1963, Bull. Astron. Inst. Neth., 17, 203
- Sokolowski, J. 1992, PhD, Rice University
- Songaila, A., Bryant, W., & Cowie, L. L. 1989, ApJ354, L71
- Spergel, D.N., Blitz, L., Teuben, P.J., Hartmann, D., and Burton, B. 1996, BAAS, 189, #61.02
- Spyromilio, J. 1995, MNRAS, 227, 59p
- Staveley-Smith, L. 1997, PASA, 14, 111
- Sutherland, R. S. & Dopita, M. A. 1993, ApJS, 88, 253

- Tremaine, S.D. 1976, ApJ, 203, 72
- Tufte, S. L., Reynolds, R. J., & Haffner, L. M. 1998, ApJ, submitted
- van Rhijn, P.J. 1921, Publ. Astron. Lab. Groningen, 31, 1
- van Woerden, H., Schwarz, U. J., Peletier, R., Wakker, B. P., Kalberla, P. M. W. 1997, submitted to Nature, as cited by Wakker & van Woerden 1997
- Veilleux, S. & Osterbrock, D. E. 1987, ApJS
- Veilleux, S., Cecil, G. N. & Bland-Hawthorn, J. 1995, ApJ, 445, 152
- Verschuur, G. L. 1988, in Blitz, L. & L. J. Lockman, eds, The Outer Galaxy, Springer, New York, p. 208
- Wakker, B.P. 1991, A&A, 250, 499
- Wakker, B.P. & van Woerden, H. 1997, ARA&A, 35, 217
- Wakker, B.P. & Boulanger, F. 1986, A&A, 170, 84
- Wakker, B.P. & van Woerden, H. 1991, A&A, 250, 509
- Wakker, B.P., Vijfschaft, B. & Schwarz, U.J. 1991, A&A, 249, 233
- Wang, Q.D. & McCray, R. 1993, ApJ, 409, L37
- Wang, Q.D. & Taisheng, Y. 1996, New Astronomy, 1, 245
- Weiner, B. J. & Williams, T. B. 1996, AJ, 111, 1156
- Whitelock, P., Catchpole, R. & Irwin, M. 1996, New Astronomy, 1, 17
- Wolfire, M.G., McKee, C.F., Hollenbach, D. & Tielens, A.G.G.M. 1995, ApJ, 453, 673
- Yelle, R. V. & Roesler, F. R. 1985, JGR, 90, 7568

density of the cosmic background at the Lyman limit in units of  $10^{-21}$  erg cm $^{-2}$  s $^{-1}$  Hz $^{-1}$  sr $^{-1}$ ;  $\Phi^\circ (= \pi J_{-21}^\circ/h)$  is the equivalent photon flux at face of a uniform, optically thick slab.

To a good approximation, the poloidal UV radiation field from the disk is

$$\varphi_4 = 2.8 \times 10^6 e^{-\tau} R_{\text{kpc}}^{-2} \cos^{0.6\tau+0.5} \Theta \quad \text{phot cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{A1})$$

where  $\Theta$  is the polar angle ( $0 \leq \Theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ),  $R_{\text{kpc}}$  is the radius in kiloparsecs, and the Lyman limit optical depth  $\tau \leq 10$ . It follows that the solid-angle averaged flux is

$$\bar{\varphi}_4 = \frac{2.8 \times 10^6 e^{-\tau}}{(0.6\tau + 1.5)R_{\text{kpc}}^2} \quad \text{phot cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

In order to explain Magellanic Stream H $\alpha$  detections, our model favours  $\tau \approx 2.8$ .

## APPENDIX A: IONISATION MODELS

We present a useful approximation to the Galactic halo ionising field deduced by BM for an opaque, exponential disk of isotropic UV emitters. The disk has a scale length of 3.5 kpc and a radial extent of 25 kpc. The dust is assumed to be distributed smoothly throughout the exponential disk. The hot gas distribution is described by a non-singular isothermal sphere with a central gas density of  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  cm $^{-3}$ , a scale radius 10 kpc and a halo temperature of 0.2 keV. This density and temperature distribution matches the emission measure and x-ray luminosity of the diffuse component determined by Wang & McCray (1993). The corona is expected to be negligible for  $R'_c < 30$  kpc although this depends on the Galactic latitude of the cloud.

In order to derive H $\alpha$  emission measures, we assume an electron temperature  $T_e \approx 10^4$  K, as expected for gas photoionised by stellar sources, for which the Case B hydrogen recombination coefficient is  $\alpha_B \approx 2.6 \times 10^{-13} (10^4/T_e)^{0.75}$  cm $^3$  s $^{-1}$ . At these temperatures, collisional ionisation processes are negligible. In this case, the column recombination rate in equilibrium must equal the normally incident ionising photon flux,  $\alpha_B n_e N_{H^+} = \varphi_i$ , where  $\varphi_i$  is the rate at which Ly $\alpha$  photons arrive at the cloud surface (photons cm $^{-2}$  s $^{-1}$ ),  $n_e$  is the electron density and  $N_{H^+}$  is the column density of ionised hydrogen.

The emission measure is  $\mathcal{E}_m = \int n_e n_{H^+} dl = n_e n_{H^+} L$  cm $^{-6}$  pc where  $L$  is the thickness of the ionised region. The resulting emission measure for an ionising flux  $\varphi_i$  is then  $\mathcal{E}_m = 1.25 \times 10^{-2} \varphi_4$  cm $^{-6}$  pc ( $= 4.5 \varphi_4$  mR) where  $\varphi_i = 10^4 \varphi_4$ . For an optically thin cloud in an isotropic radiation field, the solid angle from which radiation is received is  $\Omega = 4\pi$ , while for one-sided illumination,  $\Omega = 2\pi$ . For our disk model, however,  $J_\nu$  is anisotropic and  $\Omega$  can be considerably less than  $2\pi$ .

For the metagalactic UV field,  $J_{-21}^\circ$  is the ionising flux



























